# **Machine Learning** for Molecular Graph Representations and Geometries

### Ichigaku Takigawa

https://itakigawa.github.io/

RIKEN Center for Advanced Intelligence Project (AIP) Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (WPI-ICReDD), Hokkaido University



### December 1st, 2021





### **TAKIGAWA** Ichigaku 龍川ー

https://itakigawa.github.io

## Hi, I am a machine-learning researcher

- 1995-2004 Hokkaido Univ (Grad School. Engineering) 2004 PhD Computer Science
- 2005-2011 Kyoto Univ (Inst. Chemical Research) Bioinformatics Center, Assist. Prof. Grad School Pharmaceutical Sciences, Assit. Prof.
- 2012-2018 Hokkaido Univ (Grad School. Info Sci & Tech) Large-Scale Knowledge Processing Lab, Assoc. Prof. 2015-2018 JST PRESTO for Materials Informatics

• 2019- RIKEN Center for AI Project 2019- Hokkaido Univ I belong to a joint research team based at Kyoto with RIKEN AIP and Kyoto Univ CiRA, working on stem cell biology.

- (Inst. Chemical Reaction Design & Discovery)





### **TAKIGAWA** Ichigaku 瀧川 -

https://itakigawa.github.io

### But also, I am a machine-learning user

- 1995-2004 Hokkaido Univ (Grad School. Engineering) 2004 PhD Computer Science
- 2005-2011 Kyoto Univ (Inst. Chemical Research) **Bioinformatics** Center, Assist. Prof. Grad School Pharmaceutical Sciences, Assit. Prof.
- 2012-2018 Hokkaido Univ (Grad School. Info Sci & Tech) Large-Scale Knowledge Processing Lab, Assoc. Prof. 2015-2018 JST PRESTO for Materials Informatics

• 2019- RIKEN Center for AI Project 2019- Hokkaido Univ (Inst. Chemical Reaction Design & Discovery) I belong to a joint research team based at Kyoto with RIKEN AIP and Kyoto Univ CiRA, Center for iPS Cell Research a working on stem cell biology.



### Machine Learning (ML) for Molecules

- 1. ML in a nutshell
- 2. The dark side: Modern aspects of ML
- 3. The light side: Deep learning for molecules
- Challenges 4.

May the ML Force be with you...

























































Now we got a computer program to predict "orange or apple" for any unseeen ones directly from collected data



# ML is a new (lazy) way of programming

ML generates a computer program just by giving many input-output examples even when we **don't know** the underlying mechanism between inputs and outputs.





### "ありがとう"

### I love music





# This simple idea is more powerful than you may think

### Remarkably powerful when we have relevant input-output examples (it's useless if we don't)







# This simple idea is more powerful than you may think

### Remarkably powerful when we have relevant input-output examples (it's useless if we don't)







# Many ways to mathematically represent the boundary

This is why you see too many algorithms when you start to learn ML...





# But anyway, we're just tweaking parameters for a good fit

Internally, we're just fitting a surface to given points by adjusting its parameter values.







# But anyway, we're just tweaking parameters for a good fit

Internally, we're just fitting a surface to given points by adjusting its parameter values.







# **Pitfall:** The lure of wishful wordings

The current ML is stunningly powerful but it's very different from our sci-fi image of "AI". Be careful about these "wishful" wordings that needlessly distract and mislead us!

"Artificial intelligence" doesn't mean that we have something artificial also intelligent like us. "Machine learning" doesn't mean that machines actually learn things like us.



ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MEETS NATURAL STUPIDITY Drew McDermott MIT AI Lab Cambridge, Mass 02139

As a field, artificial intelligence has always been on the border of respectability, and therefore on the border of crackpottery. Many critics <Dreyfus, 1972>, <Lighthill, 1973> have urged that we are over the border. We have been very defensive toward this charge, drawing ourselves up with dignity when it is made and folding the cloak of Science about us. On the other hand, in private, we have been justifiably proud of our willingness to explore weird ideas, because pursuing them is the only way to make progress.

SIGART Newsletter No. 57 April 1976





Input variables



 $x_1$ 



### Input variables



 $x_1$ 







 $x_2$ 










This part can be simple if we can find and learn a good representation



This part can be simple if we can find and learn a good representation

### • **High dimensionality:** Too many input variables

We tend to use many input variables because ML is completely unaware of any information **not** in the input variables. Missing relevant factors results in spurious correlation.

100 x 100 RGB image = 30 thousand variables e.g.)

1000 x 1000 RGB image = 3 million variables

### • **High dimensionality:** Too many input variables

We tend to use many input variables because ML is completely unaware of any information **not** in the input variables. Missing relevant factors results in spurious correlation.

100 x 100 RGB image = 30 thousand variables e.g.)

1000 x 1000 RGB image = 3 million variables

### • **Overrepresentation:** Too many parameters

Remember that we're fitting a surface with *hundreds million* parameters in a several million dimensional space!

ResNet50: 26 million params e.g.) ResNet101: 45 million params EfficientNet-B7: 66 million params VGG19: 144 million params

12-layer, 12-heads BERT: 110 million params 24-layer, 16-heads BERT: 336 million params GPT-2 XL: 1558 million params GPT-3: 175 billion params

• Data hungriness: Big data is big for human, but can be too small for ML models... As a result, it requires huge data to make current ML models work.

- **Data hungriness:** Big data is big for human, but can be too small for ML models... As a result, it requires huge data to make current ML models work.
  - Think twice about how complex the input-output relationship you are trying to find will be.
  - How many samples will be *statistically sufficient* to estimate 2-variable functions like these? What if you're fitting a *100-variable* function?





## **Graph Neural Networks (aka Geometric Deep Learning)**





### **Graph Representation Learning**

We can seek for a good representation vector that can be computed from a molecular graph, which is expected to be superior to any man-made descriptors!

Тороlоду	Node	Fe	atu	ires	6													
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
$\bigcap^{12}$ 3	Syn	nbol	С	Ν	С	Ν	С	С	С	0	Ν	С	0	Ν	С	С		
11 4 $11 - 2$	Atomic	Num	6	7	6	7	6	6	6	8	7	6	8	7	6	6		
	TotalDeg	gree	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	1	3	3	1	3	4	4		
	TotalNur	mHs	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3		
	FormalCha	arge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	DeltaM	lass	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Isini	Ring	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0		
	Edge	Fe	atu	res	5													
		0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6 6	-7 (	6-8	8-9	9-10	9-1	1 1	1-12	8-13	5-1	11-
	BondType	1	12	12	12	12	1:	2	2	12	12	2	. 1:	2	1	1	12	
	Stereo	0	0	0	0	0	(	)	0	0	0	0	) (	0	0	0	0	



### A relatively *low-dimensional* good representation vector

# **Beyond Man-Made Descriptors**

### • 0-Dimensional Descriptors

- Constitutional descriptors
- Count descriptors
- 1-Dimensional Descriptors
  - List of structural fragments
  - Fingerprints
- 2-Dimensional Descriptors
  - Graph invariants
- 3-Dimensional Descriptors
  - 3D MoRSE, WHIM, GETAWAY, ...
  - Quantum-chemical descriptors
  - Size, steric, surface, volume, ...
- 4-Dimensional Descriptors
  - GRID, CoMFA, Volsurf, ...

# "Vol 1 contains an alphabetical listing of more than 3,300 descriptors"



### "Dragon calculates 5,270 molecular descriptors"



### **DRAGON 7.0**

RS FINGERPRINTS PROJECT AND SCIENTIFIC WORKS

Dragon 7.0 calculates **5,270** molecular descriptors, organized in different **logical blocks** as in the previous versions. Blocks are further divided into sub-blocks to make management, selection, and analysis of descriptors easier. Following, the summary of molecular descriptors blocks calculated by Dragon 7.0 is reported.

BLOCK NAME	DESCRIPTORS
Constitutional	47
Ring descriptors	32
Topological indices	75
Walk and path counts	46
Connectivity indices	37
Information indices	50
2D matrix-based descriptors	607
2D autocorrelations	213
Burden eigenvalues	96
P-VSA-like descriptors	55
	BLOCK NAME Constitutional Constitutional Ring descriptors Topological indices Walk and path counts Connectivity indices Connectivity indices Dataria:-based descriptors Datatocorrelations Datatocorrelations P-VSA-like descriptors

























## Use Case 1: Virtual Screening (QSAR/QSPR)



NCI Human Tumor Cell Line Growth Inhibition Assay (PubChem AID 1)





### LogGI50 value ----- GI50: concentration required for 50% inhibition of growth

/)	Activity	Saara	LongGI50 M				
SID	Activity	30016	LUG0150_M (?)				
521601	Inactive	0	-4				
521588	Inactive	0	-4				
521589	Inactive	4	-4.214				

# Use Case 1: Virtual Screening (QSAR/QSPR)





### A Deep Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery

### Authors

Jonathan M. Stokes, Kevin Yang, Kyle Swanson, ..., Tommi S. Jaakkola, Regina Barzilay, James J. Collins

### Correspondence

regina@csail.mit.edu (R.B.), jimjc@mit.edu (J.J.C.)

# **Use Case 2: Fast Approximation for QM Calculations**



	property	value
0	dipole_moment	7.214000
1	isotropic_polarizability	65.360001
2	homo	-6.280388
3	lumo	-1.649010
4	gap	4.631378
5	electronic_spatial_extent	884.587524
6	zpve	2.610307
7	energy_U0	-10742.250000
8	energy_U	-10742.060547
9	enthalpy_H	-10742.035156
10	free_energy_G	-10743.111328
11	heat_capacity	24.756001
12	U_0_atom	-56.213203
13	U_atomization	-56.525291
14	H_atomization	-56.833679
15	G_atomization	-52.407772
16	rotational_a	5.712810
17	rotational_b	1.644960
18	rotational_c	1.287640

https://qcarchive.molssi.org/apps/ml\_datasets/

## **Use Case 2: Fast Approximation for QM Calculations**



	property	value
0	dipole_moment	7.214000
1	isotropic_polarizability	65.360001
2	homo	-6.280388
3	lumo	-1.649010
4	gap	4.631378
5	electronic_spatial_extent	884.587524
6	zpve	2.610307
7	energy_U0	-10742.250000
8	energy_U	-10742.060547
9	enthalpy_H	-10742.035156
10	free_energy_G	-10743.111328
11	heat_capacity	24.756001
12	U_0_atom	-56.213203
13	U_atomization	-56.525291
14	H_atomization	-56.833679
15	G_atomization	-52.407772
16	rotational_a	5.712810
17	rotational_b	1.644960
18	rotational_c	1.287640

https://qcarchive.molssi.org/apps/ml\_datasets/

### **Use Case 2: Fast Approximation for QM Calculations**

GNN predictions are strikingly accurate, in particular, for predicting energies of a molecule of a conformation or forces at each atom to transition towards a more stable conformation!



## **Big Challenge: Rashomon Effect and Underspecification**

### **Rashomon Effect:** The multiplicity of good ML models

In general, we can have many good but very different ML models that give equally accurate predictions for the given data.

## **Big Challenge: Rashomon Effect and Underspecification**

### **Rashomon Effect:** The multiplicity of good ML models

In general, we can have many good but very different ML models that give equally accurate predictions for the given data.

Many explanations can exist for a single set of finite observations in general. • (whether they are given by ML or by human experts.)

# **Big Challenge: Rashomon Effect and Underspecification**

### **Rashomon Effect:** The multiplicity of good ML models

In general, we can have many good but very different ML models that give equally accurate predictions for the given data.

- Many explanations can exist for a single set of finite observations in general. (whether they are given by ML or by human experts.)
- They can largely disagree in a underspecified situation where data is statistically insufficient.  $\bullet$



Different models can give very different predictions for out-of-sample cases







In reality, almost all cases in sciences might be statistically insufficient to fit modern ML models.



In reality, almost all cases in sciences might be statistically insufficient to fit modern ML models.

• Even the number of data is big for us, it can be small given the number of input variables and model parameters. (hundreds million parameters in a several million input space...)



In reality, almost all cases in sciences might be statistically insufficient to fit modern ML models.

- Even the number of data is big for us, it can be small given the number of input variables and model parameters. (hundreds million parameters in a several million input space...)
- Most experiments are planned by human scientists and therefore are subject to a variety of • biases from human cognitive biases, heuristics and social influences.



In reality, almost all cases in sciences might be statistically insufficient to fit modern ML models.

- Even the number of data is big for us, it can be small given the number of input variables and model parameters. (hundreds million parameters in a several million input space...)
- Most experiments are planned by human scientists and therefore are subject to a variety of • biases from human cognitive biases, heuristics and social influences.
- Robotization/automation of experiments is promising in terms of reproducibility, but not a direct solution for this because the chemical space is astronomical (10<sup>60</sup> or so). We might be able to have 10<sup>6</sup> robots in future, but 10<sup>60</sup> would be physically hopeless...



In reality, almost all cases in sciences might be statistically insufficient to fit modern ML models.

- Even the number of data is big for us, it can be small given the number of input variables and model parameters. (hundreds million parameters in a several million input space...)
- Most experiments are planned by human scientists and therefore are subject to a variety of biases from human cognitive biases, heuristics and social influences.
- Robotization/automation of experiments is promising in terms of reproducibility, but not a direct solution for this because the chemical space is astronomical (10<sup>60</sup> or so). We might be able to have 10<sup>6</sup> robots in future, but 10<sup>60</sup> would be physically hopeless...

**One remedy: Fusing rationalism (theory/simulation) and empiricism (ML/data-driven)** Encoding what we already know for ML not to needlessly explore chemically invalid forms → In other words, restrict ML models not to represent irrelevant input-output mappings.





A molecular graph (RDKit)









### SchNet (Schütt et al, 2017): Standard Geometric GNN





### SchNet (Schütt et al, 2017): Standard Geometric GNN





### SchNet (Schütt et al, 2017): Standard Geometric GNN



 $w_{01}$ 

 $w_{02}$ 

 $w_{12}$ 


## SchNet (Schütt et al, 2017): Standard Geometric GNN





 $oldsymbol{w}_{12}$ 

 $w_{02}$ 

 $w_{01}$ 

# QSAR/QSPR, QM Approximation, Molecule Generations, ...

### DimeNet++

Klicpera et al (NeurIPS WS2022) https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.14115



GemNet

Klicpera et al (NeurIPS2021)

https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.08903

### GeoMol

Ganea et al (NeurIPS2021) https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.07802

generates distributions of low-energy molecular 3D conformers



**Training loss:** 1,2,3-hop distance loss; bond angle & torsion angle loss

## "Learn to Simulate"

Research > Learning to Simulate Complex Physics with Graph Networks

PUBLICATIONS

DeepMind

SHARE



PUBLICATION ICML

### Learning to Simulate Complex Physics with Graph Networks

#### Abstract

Here we present a machine learning framework and model implementation that can learn to simulate a wide variety of challenging physical domains, involving fluids, rigid solids, and deformable materials interacting with one another. Our framework—which we term "Graph Network-based Simulators" (GNS)—represents the state of a physical system with particles, expressed as nodes in a graph, and computes dynamics via learned message–passing. Our results show that our model can generalize from single-timestep predictions with thousands of particles during training, to different initial conditions, thousands of timesteps, and at least an order of magnitude more particles at test time. Our model was robust to hyperparameter choices across various evaluation metrics: the main determinants of long-term performance were the number of message–passing steps, and mitigating the accumulation of error by corrupting the training data with noise. Our GNS framework advances the state-of-the-art in learned physical simulation, and holds promise for solving a wide range of complex forward and inverse problems.

Datasets and example model and training code available.



## "Learn to Simulate"

Research > Learning to Simulate Complex Physics with Graph Networks

PUBLICATIONS

DeepMind

SHARE



PUBLICATION ICML

### Learning to Simulate Complex Physics with Graph Networks

#### Abstract

Here we present a machine learning framework and model implementation that can learn to simulate a wide variety of challenging physical domains, involving fluids, rigid solids, and deformable materials interacting with one another. Our framework—which we term "Graph Network-based Simulators" (GNS)—represents the state of a physical system with particles, expressed as nodes in a graph, and computes dynamics via learned message–passing. Our results show that our model can generalize from single-timestep predictions with thousands of particles during training, to different initial conditions, thousands of timesteps, and at least an order of magnitude more particles at test time. Our model was robust to hyperparameter choices across various evaluation metrics: the main determinants of long-term performance were the number of message–passing steps, and mitigating the accumulation of error by corrupting the training data with noise. Our GNS framework advances the state-of-the-art in learned physical simulation, and holds promise for solving a wide range of complex forward and inverse problems.

Datasets and example model and training code available.



# **Fusing ML + Quantum Chemistry**

### Unifying machine learning and quantum chemistry with a deep neural network for molecular wavefunctions

K. T. Schütt, M. Gastegger, A. Tkatchenko 🖂, K.-R. Müller 🖂 & R. J. Maurer 🖂









# **Fusing ML + Quantum Chemistry**

Machine Learning at the Atomic Scale (Chem. Rev.) https://pubs.acs.org/toc/chreay/121/16



🔤 😳 🖲 😒 🖃

pubs.acs.org/CR

#### Review

#### Combining Machine Learning and Computational Chemistry for Predictive Insights Into Chemical Systems

John A. Keith,\* Valentin Vassilev-Galindo, Bingqing Cheng, Stefan Chmiela, Michael Gastegger, Klaus-Robert Müller,\* and Alexandre Tkatchenko\*





Data Science Meets Chemistry (Acc. Chem. Res.)

### **CHEMICAL** REVIEWS

pubs.acs.org/CR

### Physics-Inspired Structural Representations for Molecules and Materials

Felix Musil, Andrea Grisafi, Albert P. Bartók, Christoph Ortner, Gábor Csányi, and Michele Ceriotti\*

Cite This: Chem. Rev. 2021, 121, 9759-9815

chemical research

pubs.acs.org/accounts

### Learning to Approximate Density Functionals

Published as part of the Accounts of Chemical Research special issue "Data Science Meets Chemistry". Bhupalee Kalita, Li Li, Ryan J. McCarty, and Kieron Burke\*



## https://pubs.acs.org/page/achre4/data-science-meets-chemistry



Read Online

Article

**Read Online** 

## **One Final Remark: "The True Dark Side"**

"We're able to predict smth." does not directly mean "We're able to understand it" nor "We're able to discover it". We still need further considerations to impact natural sciences...





"ありがとう"

### I love music





### This slide is available at https://itakigawa.github.io/news.html

### Machine Learning (ML) for Molecules

- 1. ML in a nutshell
  - ML converts data into "prediction"
  - ML is a new (lazy) way of programming
- 2. The dark side: Modern aspects of ML
  - High dimensionality: Too many input variables
  - Overrepresentation: Too many parameters
  - Data hungriness: Big data is big for human, but can be too small for ML models...

- 3. The light side : Deep learning for molecules
  - Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)
  - Case 1: Virtual Screening (QSAR/QSPR)
- 4. Challenges
  - Rashomon Effect and Underspecification

  - or Discovery

## Summary

Case 2: Fast Approximation for QM Calculations

Designing Relevant Inductive Bias for Chemistry Prediction does not directly mean Understanding

May the ML Force be with you...